ART. XIX.—On Criminal Abortion in America. By Horatio R. Storer, M. D., of Boston, etc. Philadelphia: J. B. Lippincott & Co., 1860. 8vo. pp. 107.

Is our review of the twelfth volume of the Transactions of the American Related Association, contained in the present number, will be found a notice of the oble report made by Dr. Storer and others on the subject of criminal obortion. In this report reference is mode to certain evidence that had been collected by the committee, in proof of the leading positions haid down by them as to the criminality of abortion, its frequency, and the causes of its prevalence. The criminality of abortion, its frequency, and the causes of its prevalence. The criminality of abortion, as poblished originally in the North American Medico-Chirurgical Review, and is now issoci in a separate form for more general circulation.

The investigation into which Dr. Storer, to whom is to be eredited the collection and arrangement of the evidence referred to, has cotered, is full, able, and satisfactors, ond well worthy the serious consideration not only of every member of the medical prafession who has o just oppreciation of the important mission has undertoken, hat of every legislator, every exponence round administrator of the lows—of every individual member of the community, io fine, who, while he defends his own individual rights, would extend an equal defence to the rights of others, even the hombiest and most insignificant of the bunnan family, from the moment of conception until the period when they are called, by that for within whose scope oll are included, to leave this for another state of existence.

Dr. Storer has established most conclusively, and upon data the volidity and sofficiency of which will scarcely be decided, the extreme criminality of abortion is erery case in which it is pracured intentionally and for the sole object of destroying the life of the factus—without such destruction being necessitated by a due regard for the safety of the mother, or by one other equally imperative cause—and in cases where, had not the product of conception been thus premarely get rid of, it would doubties have survived to the termination of the full

period of utero-gestation, and been theo born olive.

The more difficult questions of the frequency of the crime, and its rapid increase in our midst, are cautiously and skilfully handled. Although the data within our reach, by which the extent to which criminal obortion has been and is now practised, are too imperfect and ancertain to lead to ony other than an approximate result, still, a tolerably correct judgment in respect to both these particulars may be formed by a careful exomination of the evidence derivable from the comparative increase of population; the published records of stillbirths; the number of occusations and trials for obortion; the published lists of immediate maternal deaths; the comparative size of families now and formerly; the experience of physicians, either from direct opplications for abortion, or the frequent evidence be meets with in his practice of forced abortion having occurred recently or of some previous period, more or less remote; and, finally, from the pecaniary success of known abortionists, and from the very great and constantly increasing demand for obortion-pradocing nostrams, rendering the traffic in them throughout the country a common and highly profitable occupa-tion. Fram these various sources a mass of evidence may be deduced sufficient to compel all, who will take the trauble to examine it, to the painful conviction that the practice of criminal chortion is carried on omong us to a fearful extent, and that it is every year rapidly on the increase; that, notwithstanding our high pretensions to enlightcoment, our wide-extending philapthrapy, our vacated seal for the cause of "pure ood andefiled religion," we ore perfectly willing to telerate -if we do not, in fact, countenance and encourage-in our very midst a crime from which, when perpetrated from the same motives and for the same ends, we shoald imagine that all sove the most dchased and ruthless savago would shrink with disgust.

The cooses assigned by Dr. S. for this general turpitude are numerous ond.
No. LXXVIII.—Arril 1860.

diversified. Among the chief of them he comments the low morale of the community as regards the enormity of the crime; the case with which list true character, in individual cases, may be concealed; the unwillingness of its victims to give testimony that would also criminate themselves; the possibility of the crime being prepetrated by the pregnant female on herself, without assistance; the case with which the law, as it now stands, for the prevention of criminal abortion, may be evaded; the lack of proper and efficient judicial preventives, such as legal provisions for registration, against the concealment of thirds, and against secret interments; the prevalent ignorance of the jurisprudence of obstetric operations in bat government officials and medical witnesses; the doctrines of political economists, that the welfare of the labouring classes, and the general prosperity of a community, are impeded by a numerous offspring; and lastly, the fear of childbirth.

We do not intend to follow Dr. S. in his exposition of the deleterious effects of the practice of whortion upon the bealth and lives of the females who submit to it; of the cridence by which the occurrence of abortion may be established; the cause of its occurrence, whether natural, accidental, or intentional; and the several circumstances connected with its perpetration, when criminally practised. For a very full and satisfactory examination of all these questions we refer our readers to the essay before us, a careful perusal of every portion of which will

he found fruitful in instruction.

Dr. S. refers to the fact that medical men often become the "innocent abetters" of the practice of abortion, by the apparent disrygard they exhibit for the sanctily and value of factal life, by their frequent recourse to the procurement of premature labour as a means of safety to the mother, or, in place of such procedure, substituting the less justifiable measure of the destruction of the fectus by exalictory. In respect to the induction of premature labour, Dr. S., while he admits that eases do occasionally auguestionably occar in which it may be correctly viewed as the sole means by which, while the greatest chance is afforded for the safety of the factus, the life of the mather can be preserved. He believes, however, that the operation is seldom required for the sake of the factus, and in general only after the commencement of the seventh month of pregnancy. To warrant a resort to it in any case, its necessity must be clearly shown. Even then, however, it should be delayed as long as is consistent with the child's safety, and when practiced, it must be by such means us, whilst most efficient, are the least liable to tojure the child.

The induction of premature labour is most frequently resorted to in order to avoid the performance of eraniotomy after labour has set in. The necessity of eraniotomy, unless extreme, can, it is evident, only be known with certainty, before the expansion of pregnance, from the expression of any statement.

before the expiration of pregnancy, from the experience of past labours.
"But bere," remarks Dr. S., "too much caution cannot be exercised; the rules of the books and of accepted authorities are not to be blindly followed. Craniotomy at the full time is still too frequently performed; where even it has been suggested by the character of a previous labour, children are often, or might be, born living; where it seems indicated by direct exploration, as ruled even by recent writers, children are sometimes, or might be, born living; where it was formerly thought absolutely essential, the progress of obstetric science has now

rendered it often unnecessary."

Olher points are referred to, in which physicians are directly interested, as tending, by their apparent disregard of feetal life, to render themselves innoced abettors of criminal abortion; soch as neglect of efforts to prevent miscarriage when threatened, or when it has become an established habit; neglect of well-directed attempts at resuscitating stillborn children whera there is the slightest chance of success; the performance of operations of any kind upon a pregnant-woman, even toothdrawing, that might be delayed; the careless or unnecessary mas of ergot; the relying upon a single and nonided opinion, where not one life only, but two, may be endangered. "Other instances," Dr. S. remarks, "might be adduced; but enough has already been said to prove that the importance of the subject we are considering, and the responsibilities resting upon the profession regarding it, demand, as I have elsewhere suggested, that physicians should nosesses, should acknowledge, and should govern themselves by an obsto-

tric code." The necessity of such u code will become the more manifest the more are investigated the several questions pertaining to obstetric jurisprudence.

"Distressing in the retrospect, inconvenient frequently in the present, such a code would undoubtedly prove; but it is demanded of the profession by the progress of our science, by humanity, morality, and religion. Were the facts in the case more generally known, and the existence and sanctity of feetal life more universally appreciated, it would be also demanded by public opinion.

"We have thus seen that 'the absard enactments still remaining on the statotehook, the caroless indifference with which means are adopted to prevent its occurrence, its increase, and its frequent induction by obstetricians, are all evils

which loudly and imperatively call for the closest investigation."

After an examination of the legal provisions in existence, more especially in the United States, in reference to the crime of abortion, the causes of the inefficiency of these provisions for its suppression, are noticed; it de difficulties they present to the conviction of the parties concerned in its perpetration, the absurd distinctions they create between the condition of the factus in the early said the later periods of pregnancy, and the trifling light in which they recognize the practice of shortion. In but few instances is the crime, intrinsically considered, viewed by the law as a beinons and, as an attempt to destroy the life of a child, as deliberate marder; but, under many very loosely defined circumstances, it is

held even as a perfectly justifiable act.

The all-important question next presents itself. Can the proctice of criminal abortion he entirely, or in any degree, restrained by law? Dr. S. believes that it may be prevented, to a very great extent at least, by judicious and well-executed legal canciments for the registration of hirths, marriages, and deaths, and against the concealment of births, and secret burials; the establishment, under proper regulations, of foomlling hospitals by the different State and municipal governments; the prohibition, under severe penulties, of the sale or dispensing of say drugs popularly known as emmenagogues, excepting upon the prescription of a respectable practitioner of medicine; on entire revision of all the laws bearing upon the subject of criminal abortion, so that the legal definition of the crime may be rendered more clear and positive; the evidence regalized for the establishment of the guilt of all the parties implicated made to bear directly upon the fact of the act of abortion being istended as well as consummated during any period of pregnancy, and by whatever means, or of its having been attempted in cases of presumed pregnancy, even where pregnancy shall he proved not to have existed; the punishment of the female in whose case criminal abortion has been practised, either as a principal or particeps criminis; the fixing of a correct and uniform standard of institication for the practice of necessary obortion; and the making penal the encouragement of criminal chortion by any publication, lecture, advertisement, or announcement, or by the sale or circulation of soy such publication.

The essay concludes with some indicious remarks in respect to the duty of the medical prafession in the effort to suppress the practice of criminal abortion, in order that an portion of the guilt involved in the practice may rest upon them.

"Enough," says Dr. S., "has already been said to show that there is need of increased vigilance on the part of medical men, lest they themselves become innecent and unintentional abettors of the crime. If the community were made to nuclestand and to feel that marriage, where the parties shrink from its highest responsibilities, is nothing less than legalized prostitution, many would shrink from their present public confession of cowardly, selfsh, and sioful lnst. If they were taught by the speech and daily practice of their medical streadants that a value attaches to the unhorn child, hardly increased by the necledant of its hirth, they also would be persuaded or compelled to a similar belief in its sanctity, and to a commensurato respect."

"It fallows, from the evidence we have sidenced, that if it be the daty of the profession to arga apon individuals the truth regarding this crime, it is equally their duty to arge it apon the law, by whose doctrines the people are bound, and upon that people, the community, by whose action the laws are made. And this should be dene by as, if we would succeed in suppressing the crime, not by separate action alone, hot conjointly, as the profession, grandly representing its

highest claim—the saving of human life. Every step towards this end should be hailed with eathusiasm." \* \* \*

"Too much zeal cannot he shown by physicians in relieving themselves from the weight of responsibility they may have incurred by innocently causing the increased destruction of human life. Let it not be supposed by the public that there is among us, either in theory or practice, any disregard of the unborne child. If such impression have already obtained, from our own negligence, the falsehoods of irregular practitioners, or otherwise, it should at once be removed." " " "

"We should, as a profession, openly and with one accord appeal to the commonity in words of carnest warning, setting forth the deplorable coosequences of criminal abortion—the octual and independent existence, from the moment of conception, of feetal life. And that the effort should not be one of words merely; we should, as a profession, recommend to the legislative bodies of the land the revision and subsequent caforecasent of oll laws, statutory or otherwise, pertaining to this crime, that the present slaughter of the innaceals may to some extent, at least, be made to cease. For it is 'o thing deserving all hate and detestation, that o man in his very originall, whiles he is formed, whiles he is cultived, should be put to death under the very hands and in the shop of natore.'"

D. F. U.

Ant. NX.—Report on the Smallpox, in the City of Providence, R. I., from January to June, 1859. By Eowix M. Sxew, M. D., Superintendent of Health. Providence, 1859.

The smallpox was introduced into the city of Providence towards the close of the year 1858, by a gentleman who had been on a visit to New Yark. He was ottacked soon after his reture with varioloid. He had been vaccinated in childhood, forty-four years previously. The attack was a very mild one, requiring no medical attendance, and as no suspicions were cotertained in regard to its character, no precuotions were taken to prevent the spreading of the contagion. From this case were traceable, directly or indirectly, the seventy-three cases which occurred in the city during the first five months of the year 1859. Tweetyone of these cases were namedified smallpox, and fifty-two varioloid; thirtythree were in males and forty in females. In January there were ten cases, three smallpox, seven varioloid; in February six cases, three smallpox, three variolaid; in March twenty-nine cases, nine smallpox, twenty variolaid; in April soventeen cases, four smallpox, thirteen varioloid; in May eleven cases, iwo smallpox, nine varioloid. In thuse noder five years of age, there were nine cases of smallpox and three of varioloid; between five and ten years, there were four cases of smallpox and five of varioloid; between ten and fifteen years, there were one case of smallpox and eight of varioloid; between fifteen and twenty years. there were one case of smallpox and three of varialoid; and in those of tweety years and over, there were six cases of smallpox and thirty-three of vorioloid. Of the whole number of cases forty-six occurred in Americans, and tweety-seven in foreigners; sixty-four in whites and nine in colored persons. Four of the cases only terminated fotally; of these one was three mooths old, one three years, one four years, and one thirty-five years; one was o male and three femoles; three were white, one was colored; oll were of American parentage. One died on the 8th, one on the 9th, one on the 10th, and one on the 11th day after the oppearance of the eroption. Of the whole number of cases of smallpox (twenty-one), eight were confinent and severe. Of these, four, or one-half, died. No case of distinct smallpox or of varioloid proved fetal. Of the four cases of coofficent smallpox which recovered, one was an infant only seven weeks old when attacked; one was o boy five years old; one a boy of fifteen years; and one a colored man of thirty years.

Of the fifty-two cases of varioloid, four were very severe, and undistinguish from cooffocut smallpox ootil the 7th or 6th day, when the sudden cessation of ferrer, and speedy dessication of the pustales showed that the previous vacci-